### **USING GOTOWEBINAR**

	File View Help ⊕• _□□×	
	✓ Audio Sound Check =■◎ ?	
	Computer audio Phone call	
4	Transmit (2- Plantronics Savi 7xx)	
	Speakers (2- Plantronics Savi 7xx)	
	▼ Chat 🛛 🛛 🗙	
	[Type message here]	2
	To: Send question to Staff ∨ Send	

#### Two Options for Audio:

 Use your computer's speakers
Call in by phone using the call-in number and access code in the Audio section of the control box.

All participants are **muted** by default.

**Questions:** Use the "send question" option in the chat panel to ask questions throughout the presentation.

Ask for help! Use the questions box to let us know if you have technical difficulties. You can also raise your hand for help.



### SPILL BUCKET/ CONTAINMENT SUMP TESTING AND REPAIR



4/30/2020

#### **TODAY'S SPEAKERS**

Russ Brauksieck- Senior Analyst, EPA Ed Kubinsky- Director of Regulatory Affairs, Training and Certification, Crompco, LLC Tony Rieck- President/CEO, T.R. Consulting, Inc. Roland Riegel- PDE – Flammable Liquids **Containment Products**,

Underwriters Laboratories Inc.



#### **TRAINING OVERVIEW**

 Russ- Policy Background of Spill Bucket and Containment Sump Testing
Ed- Licensing to Perform UST Testing, PEI RP 1200
Roland- UL 2447
Tony- NLPA/KWA 823 Standard



#### Spill Bucket and Containment Sump Testing

Russ Brauksieck EPA Office of Underground Storage Tanks



When is spill prevention equipment and sump testing required according to Part 280?

Spill prevention equipment and containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring of piping must prevent releases to the environment by meeting one of the following:

(i) The equipment is double walled and the <u>integrity of both</u> walls is periodically monitored at a frequency not less than the frequency of the walkthrough inspections or

(ii)The spill prevention equipment and containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring of piping are tested at least once every three years to ensure the equipment is liquid tight by using vacuum, pressure, or liquid testing...

#### **Spill Buckets**

- What has to be tested?
  - Spill buckets at fill ports where delivery hose is disconnected



#### **Spill Buckets**

- What has to be tested?
  - Spill buckets at fill ports where delivery hose is disconnected
- What does not have to be tested?
  - Spill buckets at vapor recovery ports
  - Double-wall spill buckets where the integrity of both wall is periodically monitored (monitored every 30 days or before each delivery if interval of receiving delivery is more than 30 days) 8



# Containment Sumps

- What has to be tested?
  - Containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring ofpiping

#### **Containment Sumps**

- What has to be tested?
  - Containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring of piping
- What does not have to be tested?
  - Containment sumps not used for interstitial monitoring of piping
    - O/O's of DW piping systems installed before secondary containment requirement not required to use interstitial monitoring
    - UDCs when not used for interstitial monitoring of piping (required for new/replaced dispenser regardless of RD method)
  - Double-wall containment sumps where the integrity of both wall is monitored at least annually



#### PEI RP100 Figure 8-1







#### Testing of Spill Buckets and Containment Sumps

Testing of spill buckets and containment sumps must be accomplished in accordance with

- (A) Requirements developed by the manufacturer (Note: Owners and operators may use this option only if the manufacturer has developed requirements);
- (B) Code of practice developed by a nationally recognized association or independent testing laboratory; or
- (C) Requirements determined by the implementing agency to be no less protective of human health and the environment than the requirements listed in (A) and (B).



#### **Testing of Spill Buckets**

Testing of spill buckets must be accomplished in accordance with

- (A) Requirements developed by the manufacturer (Note: Owners and operators may use this option only if the manufacturer has developed requirements);
- (B) Code of practice developed by a nationally recognized association or independent testing laboratory; or
- (C) Requirements determined by the implementing agency to be no less protective of human health and the environment than the requirements listed in (A) and (B).



Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirement Developed by Manufacturer

- None identified for testing single-walled containment sumps
- Containment sump with double bottom may be tested in accordance with manufacturer instructions







#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

EPA accepts:

- Low liquid-level testing
- Alternative Test Procedures meeting identified criteria

States need to determine if these are acceptable in their state



#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

Low liquid-level testing

 Conditions and procedures available at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-06/documents/low-level-hydrostatic-sump-testing-procedures.pdf</u>

## THE STATES OF TH

#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

Low liquid-level testing

- Conditions and procedures available at: <u>https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2018-06/documents/low-level-hydrostatic-sump-testing-procedures.pdf</u>
- The conditions and procedures are slightly different than the PEI RP1200 conditions and procedures.
- Owners/Operators must fully comply with one or the other, not allowed to choose portions of each procedure



#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

Alternative Test Procedures criteria:

• The sump is tested for liquid tightness using vacuum, pressure, or liquid.



#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

Alternative Test Procedures criteria:

- The sump is tested for liquid tightness using vacuum, pressure, or liquid.
- Equipment and procedures for the sump test can detect a leak of 0.1 gallons per hour with a probability of detection of at least 95 percent with a probability of false alarm of no more than 5 percent.

# The states to see the second s

#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

Alternative Test Procedures criteria:

- The sump is tested for liquid tightness using vacuum, pressure, or liquid.
- Equipment and procedures for the sump test can detect a leak of 0.1 gallons per hour with a probability of detection of at least 95 percent with a probability of false alarm of no more than 5 percent.
- The test method has been evaluated by qualified, independent party using an applicable test procedure developed by EPA, and the test procedure is appropriate for the type of release detection technology being evaluated.

# THE STATES CARE AND A CARE AND A

#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Requirements Determined by Implementing Agency To Be No Less Protective

#### Alternative Test Procedures criteria: (continued)

 To demonstrate their sump test meets the above criteria, manufacturers may request the National Work Group on Leak Detection Evaluation (NWGLDE) review their evaluation. NWGLDE lists those sump tests that meet the criteria under Secondary and Spill Containment Test Methods at nwglde.org/methods/sec\_spill\_cont.html.





Search NWGLDE.org GO

Secondary and Spill Containment

Revision Date: July 3, 2019

#### Secondary and Spill Containment Test Methods

#### Click on the vendors specific EQUIPMENT NAME to go to that Listing.

VENDOR	EQUIPMENT NAME	LEAK RATE/THRESHOLD/ MAX TANK CAPACITY
AC'CENT Environmental	Dri-Sump Containment Tightness Test Method	0.1 gph with PD = 100%, and PFA = 0%
Franklin Fueling Systems	Incon TS-STS Sump Test System	0,00192 inch in 15 minutes with PD=95%/0,0080 inch/hr
Fueling and Service Technologies, Inc.	Hydro-Tite™ Leak Detection System For Secondary Containment	0.00065 inch in 12 minutes with PD = 95%/0.010 inch/hour
Leak Detection Technologies, LLC	DPleak Differential Pressure Leak Test – Leak Detection and Leak Location Test Method	0.1 gph with PD = 100%, and PFA = 0%. 0.005 gph with PD = 96%, and PFA = 0%
	MCleak Enhanced Interstice Test for Doubled-Walled Tanks, UDCs, Sumps and Spill Buckets	Leak Rate – 0.005 gph w/ 72 hour wait before testing/1,500 interstitial capacity. Leak Threshold – 0.0017 gph



#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Code of Practice

#### Code of Practice meeting regulatory requirement: –PEI RP 1200 Testing of UST Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment (2019 Edition)



#### Testing of Containment Sumps – Code of Practice

Code of Practice meeting regulatory requirement: –PEI RP 1200 Testing of UST Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment (2019 Edition)

Code of Practice NOT meeting regulatory requirement:

–ASTM E3225-20 Standard Practice for Performing a Liquid Test of Spill Prevention Equipment and Containment Sumps Used for Interstitial Monitoring of Piping by Visual Examination

#### Recordkeeping

Owners and operators must maintain records for spill prevention equipment and containment sumps used for interstitial monitoring of piping:

- (1) All records of testing must be maintained for three years. PEI RP1200 and EPA Low Liquid Level tests have forms to document test results
- (2) For equipment not tested every three years, documentation showing that the prevention equipment is double walled and the integrity of both walls is periodically monitored must be maintained for as long as the equipment is periodically monitored.



#### Sump Repair

 Repairs to UST systems must be properly conducted in accordance with a code of practice developed by a nationally recognized association or an independent testing laboratory



#### Sump Repair

- Repairs to UST systems must be properly conducted in accordance with a code of practice developed by a nationally recognized association or an independent testing laboratory
- Repairs must be compatible with substance stored. Records required if storing more than E10 or B20.



#### Questions?

UST Testing Licensing Requirements by State (not inclusive of all states) and PEI RP 1200-19 Update



#### COMPLIANCE WITHOUT COMPROMISE

Edward S. Kubinsky Jr. Director of Regulatory Affairs, Training and Certification CROMPCO, LLC Office: (610) 276-5914 Cell: (610) 633-9732 ed.kubinsky@crompco.com NEWIPCC Webinar 04/30/2020

#### HELP CONTAIN THE SPREAD OF COVID-19 (CORONAVIRUS)



Wear gloves while fueling or wash hands with soapy water for 20-30 seconds after fueling.

Avoid touching your eyes, nose, and mouth with unwashed hands.

State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities (NOTE: this list is not inclusive of all states and in no particular order) PA



- Must demonstrate current and valid equipment manufacturer's certification in order to apply to DEP (no cost to apply)
- Must take and pass 2 exams; fee per exam (1 exam technical, 1 exam on PA DEP rules & regs)
- Certification is valid for 3 years
- Must maintain equipment manufacturer's certification (UTT category)
- Must attend 4-hour DEP Administrative training (CE) once every 3 years (all categories of certification)
- Must attend category-specific DEPapproved training (CE) once every 3 years (UTT/UMX/UMI/IUM)
- Must re-apply to renew (no cost)

### PA DEP-Categories of Contractor Certifications Required for "New Equipment Testing"

- IUM: UST Compliance Inspector (can perform all new testing except overfill testing)
- UTT: Underground Tank/Line Tightness Testing (can perform all new testing except overfill testing and this category is required to perform tank/line tightness testing activities)
- UMX: Underground Tank Installer (can perform all new testing but not tank/line tightness testing)
- UMI: Underground Tank Minor Modifications (can perform all new testing but not tank/line tightness testing) – think of this as "UMX Jr." certification where repairs can be done as long as it doesn't require excavation

#### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....RI



 Must apply for license as a tester annually (both company and individual)

- No exam, no CE required
- Pay a fee per individual and company
- Document current equipment manufacturer's certification
- Document proper liability insurance

#### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....ME



- Must apply for installer or inspector certification (application fee)
- Must take and pass a rigorous exam (exam fee)
- Certification is valid for 2 years
- Must take 8-hours of boardapproved CE (annual class hosted by DEP is 8-hours and approved for 4-hours of CE or other DEPapproved CE can be used)
- Must re-apply and pay fee
- All UST testing in ME must be conducted by or overseen by a certified tank installer or inspector

(Personal note: excellent annual CE class put together by DEP)
### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....NJ



- Must take and pass ICC U-3 exam (exam fee; take the exam only once)
- Must apply and document experience and equipment manufacturer certification (application fee)
- Must take an 8-hour NJ DEPapproved CE course every 3 years for a fee (Rutgers, Montclair State, PECA)
- Must pay renewal fee invoice from NJ DEP every 3 years
- Certified installers and certified testers may perform all new testing

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....WV



- Must apply and take an exam to be either Class C for tightness testing or Class F
   Repair Technician (fee required)
- Certification is valid for 3 years
- Must renew by applying and taking 16 hours of WV DEPapproved CE (fee required) or retake exam

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued...FL



- Must apply with FL Department of Business and Professional Regulation (fee required)
  - Requires personal credit check
  - Requires fingerprinting
  - Must renew by taking 16 hours of CE every 2 years which can be face-to-face or online (fee required) – CE has absolutely nothing to do with UST work
- Must renew every 2 years (fee required)

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....WY



Must take and pass ICC W-5 Tightness Tester exam for initial licensing and then re-take every 5 years thereafter

Once certified, individual must apply for a license which is valid for 5 years

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued...MT



 Testers must be licensed
 Licensees must get 16 hours of CE every 3 years and must attend at least 1 licensee training classes every 3 years

 Licensees must obtain a permit for repairs

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued...CO



 Testers must have QST (Qualified Service Technician) certification.
 Must have PEI Certification by passing the Service Technician Training Manual Level 1 and PEI RP 900 tests.

 QST certification is good for 2 years

### State Licensing to Perform UST Testing Activities Continued....UT



Testers are required to be certified

- Must take and pass a stateadministered exam
- Certification is valid for 2 years and recertification is done by retesting
- Owners may perform their own hydrostatic tests but must follow PEI RP 1200 and take photos

State Licensing: some states have no requirements for licensing/registration as a tester except MFG certification

Kentucky

Live

Free

01

Die

North Carolina 芝

# PEI RP 1200-19 Spill Bucket Testing

PEI/RP1200-19

Recommended Practices for the Testing and Verification of Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment Equipment at UST Facilities



# Chapter 6 Covers Spill Bucket and Containment Sump Testing



#### 6. SPILL BUCKET AND CONTAINMENT SUMP TESTING

**6.1 General.** Spill buckets and containment sumps for tank systems are neither intended nor designed for the storage of petroleum products, but rather to contain small leaks and spills for short periods of time. This section describes the procedures used to test the integrity of spill buckets and containment sumps to ensure that they do not leak.

Note 1: No matter which method (hydrostatic or vacuum) is being used for spill bucket testing, the buckets must be visually inspected prior to testing (PEI RP 1200 Preparation 6.2.4 & 6.3.4). Note 2: Owners may choose an industry code of practice (PEI RP 1200), manufacturer's test procedures or procedures approved by the AHJ.

# 6.2 Covers Hydrostatic Testing



FIGURE 6-1. The hydrostatic method of determining spill bucket integrity uses water to detect leaks. The measuring stick must be placed in the same location at the beginning and end of the test period to ensure accurate water level readings.

## 6.2 Covers Hydrostatic Testing

- Must fill the spill bucket to within 1.5" of the top of the bucket
- Take initial measurement of the liquid level (best done from the bottom up)
- Wait a minimum of 1 hour
- Take final measurement of the liquid level
  If the liquid level drops 1/8" or more, the spill bucket fails the test

### 6.3 Covers Vacuum Testing Single-Wall Spill Buckets or the Primary of a Double-Wall Bucket



FIGURE 6-2. The cover must make a tight seal with the spill bucket to prevent leakage when the vacuum is pulled during the test. 6.3 Covers Vacuum Testing Single-Wall Spill Buckets or the Primary of a Double-Wall Bucket

Seal off the bucket from the tank and pull 30" water column vacuum

Wait a minimum of one minute

If the ending vacuum level is less than 26" water column vacuum, the spill bucket fails the test

### 6.4 Covers Vacuum Testing The Interstitial Space of a Double-Wall Spill Bucket



FIGURE 6-4. Check lines and connections to ensure that they are leak-tight before starting the test.

### 6.4 Covers Vacuum Testing The Interstitial Space of a Double-Wall Spill Bucket

- Attach vacuum producing device and water column gauge to the interstitial space port and pull 15" water column vacuum
- Wait a minimum of one minute
  If the ending vacuum level is less than 12" water column, the spill bucket fails the test

PEI/RP1200-19

Recommended Practices for the Testing and Verification of Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment Equipment at UST Facilities



Recommended Practices for the Testing and Verification of Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment Equipment at UST Facilities

#### FOREWORD

These Recommended Practices for the Testing and Verification of Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment Equipment at UST Facilities have been prepared as an industry service by the Petroleum Equipment Institute. The text represents the consensus views of the PEI Overfill, Release Detection and Release Prevention Equipment Testing Committee, comprised of the following members:

Edward S. Kubinsky, Jr., Chairman CROMPCO, LLC Plymouth Meeting, Pennsylvania

Danny Brevard Accent Environmental Services Inc. Lufkin, Texas

Jim Brown Belshire Environmental Services, Inc. Foothill Ranch, California

Todd Darrough Quick Trip Tulsa, Oklahoma

Brian Derge Tanknology Austin, Texas

Bruce Garrett Volta Oil Co. Plymouth, Massachusetts



Brian Harmon Tait Environmental Services, Inc. Santa Ana, California

Kevin Henderson Kevin Henderson Consulting LLC Brandon, Mississippi

Jim Howard Speedway LLC Edison, New Jersey

Ron Kingsbury UST Services Corporation Owings, Maryland

Brian Weigert R & A Risk Professionals Des Moines, Iowa ed.kubinsky 2:50 AM

Reply X

Russ Brauksieck did most of the heavy lifting...

Add a reply...

Recommended Practices for the Testing and Verification of Spill, Overfill, Leak Detection and Secondary Containment Equipment at UST Facilities

	6.4.3	Test Equipment							
	6.4.4	Preparation							
	6.4.5	Test Procedure							
	6.4.6	Pass/Fail Criteria							
6.5	Containment Sump Integrity Testing								
	6.5.1	General							
	6.5.2	Purpose							
	6.5.3	Description of Test							
	6.5.4	Test Equipment							
	6.5.5	Preparation							
	6.5.6	Test Procedure							
	6.5.7	7 Pass/Fail Criteria							
6.6	Low Liquid Level Containment Sump Testing								
NC COLO	6.6.1	General							
	6.6.2	Purpose							
		6.6.2.1 Requirements for Test							
	6.6.3	Description of Test							
	6.6.4	4 Test Equipment							
	6.6.5	5 Preparation							
	6.6.6	Test Procedure							
		6.6.6.1 Electronic and Stand-Alone Sump Sensor							
		6.6.6.2 UDC Mechanical Float Device							
	6.6.7	Pass/Fail Criteria							
6.7	Accele	erated Precision Hydrostatic Test for Spill Buckets and Containment Sumps							
6.8	Proper	Handling of Test Liquids. Test liquids must be handled properly.	23						

#### 6.6 Low Liquid Level Containment Sump Testing.

**6.6.1 General.** Containment sumps are liquidtight structures designed to temporarily contain leaks or spills. In addition, containment sumps often serve as the leak detection monitoring location for double-walled piping systems. Leakage from the primary piping typically flows inside the secondary containment piping to the sump, where it can be observed or detected. This section describes a low liquid level hydrostatic test method that actuates an electronic sensor or mechanical float device for positive shutdown of product flow or positive shutdown of a dispensing device.



#### PEI/RP1200-19

- Low liquid level hydrostatic sump testing does not assess the integrity of the entire containment sump but, instead, tests only the integrity of the lower portion of the containment sump and the ability of the liquid sensor or mechanical float device to shut down any submersible turbine pumps (STPs) or dispensing devices associated with that sump.
- Disabling the operation of a dispenser may not stop a leak because the STP is still operational and the piping is pressurized.
- Under no circumstances should the low liquid level hydrostatic sump testing protocol be employed for testing of newly installed containment sumps or for initial testing of containment sumps that have been repaired. Subsequent periodic testing may be performed using the low liquid level test method.

6.6.2 Purpose. This section describes the preparation and procedures to perform a low liquid level hydrostatic containment sump test.

#### 6.6.2.1 Requirements for Test.

- 1. A containment sump must have;
  - A) liquid sensors configured to shut down any STPs upon activation of the sensor; or
  - B) stand-alone sensors that shut down a dispensing device, or mechanical float devices that shut down product flow at the shear valve.

**NOTE:** For option B the facility must always be staffed when the dispensers are operational. See Section 6.6.5 (6).



- 4. If the containment sump is found to have cracks, loose parts or separation of any joints or penetration fittings, it is not considered liquid-tight. This is a visual indicator of a test failure.
- 5. Test boots or secondary containment termination fittings must be present on the piping that penetrates the sump if the penetration will be within the liquid level of the test.
- An electronic sensor or UDC mechanical float device must be installed in all UDC and STP containment sumps.
  - If the sensor is electronic, determine if it is stand-alone or connected to an electronic monitoring system (EMS), such as an automatic tank gauge (ATG).
  - If the sensor is stand-alone, verify that it will shut down devices (dispenser or STP) associated with that sensor.
  - If the sensor is connected to an EMS, verify that it will shut down all STPs associated with that sensor.
  - If the sensor is a UDC mechanical float device, verify that there is a float connected to each shear valve in the UDC.

Add water to the containment sump a minimum of 4 inches above the sensor activation point. To compensate for sump deflection, the water must be allowed to settle a minimum of 15 minutes before taking the initial test measurement.

#### 6.6.7 Pass/Fail Criteria.

- Containment sumps must pass both the sensor portion and hydrostatic portion to pass the low liquid level test protocol.
- If the electronic liquid sensor connected to an EMS does not activate at the appropriate level (typically 1-inch liquid level) and shut down all STPs associated with that sensor, the low liquid level test fails.
- If the stand-alone electronic liquid sensor connected to a device (dispenser or STP) does not activate at the appropriate level (typically 1-inch liquid level) and shut down the device (dispenser or STP) associated with that sensor, the low liquid level test fails.
- If a mechanical float device does not activate at the appropriate level (typically 1-inch liquid level), trip the associated shear valve(s) and shut down product flow to the dispenser, the low liquid level test fails.
- If the water level changes less than 1/8 (0.125) inch, the containment sump passes the hydrostatic portion of the test. If the water level changes 1/8 (0.125) inch or greater, the containment sump fails the hydrostatic portion of the test.

#### PEI/RP1200-19

APPENDIX C-4-A												
			CON LOW L	TAINME IQUID	ent sump tes Level test me	ting Thod						
Facility Name:					Owner:							
Address:					Address:							
City, State, Zip Code:					City, State, Zip Code:							
Facility I.D. #:					Phone #:		0	8				
Testing Company:					Phone #:	Date:						
This procedure is to test	containment s	sumps	using the	e low liqu	uid level method.	See PEI/R	P1200 S	Section 6.6	6 for the	test meth	nod.	
Containment Sump ID						_					and a	
Containment Sump Material												
Visual Inspection (No cracks, loose parts or separation of the con- tainment sump.)	□ Pass □	] Fail	□ Pass	🗆 Fail	□Pass □Fail	🗆 Pass	🗆 Fail	□ Pass	🗆 Fail	🗆 Pass	🗆 Feil	
Liquid and debris were removed from sump? *	□Yes □	No	□ Yes	□ No	□Yes □No	□ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□ No	
When tested, electronic sensor connected to EMS, stand-alone sen- sor or mechanical float device shuts down appropriate STP, dis- penser or product as required?	□Yes □	INo	Ves	□No	□Yes □No	□ Yes	□ No	□ Yes	□No	□ Yes	□ No	
Starting Water Level	24		8		2		3		1			
Test Start Time	5- 1				i i		Č.		-			
Ending Water Level			1				1					
Test End Time					1							
Test Period (Minimum test time: 1 hour)												
Water Level Change												
Test Results	Pass D	Fail	Pass	[] Fail	DPass DFail	T Pass	□ Fail	T Pass	- Fail	[] Pass	□ Fail	

Tester's Signature

Sample test forms can be downloaded for free at: <a href="https://www.pei.org/rp1200">https://www.pei.org/rp1200</a>

\*All liquids and debris must be disposed of properly

Tester's Name (print)

pei.org

54



















# Thank You & Please Be Safe!!



### **UL 2447 & COMPRENHENSIVE SUMP COMPATIBILITY**

#### April 2020 NEIWPCC Webinar

#### What is Compatibility?

- UL's Comprehensive Testing Approach
- Individual Materials and Sump System
- Test Fuels/Fluids and Fuel Blend Ratings
- Expected Use Conditions & Environments
- Test Samples Matter!

#### Focus on Repairs & Replacement Parts

- Sump Repair Kits
- Repair & Replacement Fittings

#### **Common Misperceptions About Compatibility**

- Not All Generic Materials Are The Same
- Sump Component Cross-Compatibility...And More

#### Practical Application of Compatibility & Risk Mitigation

- Old vs New Sump Systems
- Improved LDS & Inspection Regs





#### WHAT IS COMPATIBILITY?

There are many definitions of this concept as related to UST Fueling Systems, of which different evaluation protocols are used to make a compatibility claim against it.

But ultimately, it's up to the Owner/Operator to accept the risk of the compatibility claim, and you as Regulators to approve of it per your State Regulations.

And, different interpretations may be applied to a new sump product from the factory vs inspection/maintenance/repair of that sump after initial installation.

The new 2015 EPA UST Regulations permits 3 paths to demonstrate compatibility

for new OEM sump products...









A NRTL product Listing, OEM written statement, or alternate equivalent acceptable to the AHJ. **But what kinds of compatibility are covered?** Only Fuel, and under what conditions? What about other "compatibility" considerations that also lead to leakage? **More on this later...** 



美国, 威威, 赵, 张正, 赵, 威龙, 颢

6

We are uncertain of the many different technical basis on which each manufacturer makes a material or product claim, but we strongly believe UL Listings to UL2447 have the highest level of independent testing to "real world" requirements developed by technical experts, and backed by collaborative science & research with National Labs.

**Others may only test materials** under limited conditions, but UL's comprehensive evaluation philosophy tests the combination of assembled products that contains the fuel, under both expected & unexpected conditions...

Because history has shown us a large number of sump leaks are related to incompatibility of/with any number of the following:

Sump Components and/or assembly/joining methods, including through pipes, Fuels with higher bio-blend levels that go out of spec & have degradation byproducts, Chemicals in different soils & fluids, extreme temperatures, and Physical Stresses of assembly and changing environments over long term use



#### INDIVIDUAL MATERIAL COMPATIBILITY WON'T GUARANTEE A LEAK TIGHT SUMP...AS IT'S DEPENDANT ON THE WEEKEST SYSTEM COMPONENT, and WHO/HOW IT IS ASSEMBLED.

**UL2447 requires** manufacturers test their product with all connecting components per the assembly instructions. For a sump, these are typically penetration fittings, through pipes, some frames and their connection means.

UL2447 includes coverage options for sump repairs & replacement fittings, test/monitor fittings, lids, covers and chase pipe!

**UL2447 Listings also requires** via markings & instructions, that proper assembly as validated by successful testing, is to be conducted by qualified persons.

No other Standards or Certification program covers these critical items.


## FUEL COMPATIBILITY

All samples tested represent worst case material, construction & component assembly where both individual materials and complete sumps are exposed to internal fuels/vapors & external soil fluids to simulate the real world:

- Fuels Fuel F and aggressive FB25a, CE25a & CE85a 90 (Pri) days or 60 (Sec) days @ 40 C
- Fluids 3 Acids, 2 Bases, Salt & Distilled, Water & Heat 120 days @ 40 C except Air Oven @ 70 C

**Following exposures** (liquid immersion, liquid vapors both dependent on use), a visual exam is done, and

- **Sumps** with combined components in the system must remain leak tight at 5 psi, and
- **Materials** must have min 70% PP retention (tensile strength, impact resistance...).

However...



# COMPATIBILITY of ASSEMBLY, USE/ABUSE and ENVIRONMENTS

Before conducting the long term compatibility tests, unacceptable damage must be simulated on the test sample, as determined by other compatibility tests.

The same samples are used for successive tests to simulate how a sump is assembled by qualified persons and used/abused in expected -30 C and +50 C environments:

- Use/Abuse Drop & Impact of sump components before assembly, f/b
- Assembly Torque, Bend, Push/Pull of fitting, pipes & accessories in/on sump per instructions, f/b
- Leakage External & Internal Pressure to simulate soil & water loads f/b Leakage of system & lids







## **TEST SAMPLE PREPARATION**

#### All samples shall assemble sump components per the OEM instructions, which must include:

Component Combinations of sumps, fittings & pipes. Some examples...

- Generic Any FRP or PE, or Any steel min 1/8" thick vs
- Specific Only manufacturer X model Y, rating Z

Connection Means of the above components. Some examples...

- Generic Any polyester or tarapthalic resin for FRPs, or Any 2" pipe for flanged fitting, vs
- Specific Only manufacturer X resin Y catalyst Z for FRPs, or 20-22 inlb bolt torque for boot clamp **Details of critical assembly procedures**, equipment, conditions, etc. **Some examples**...
- FRP Bonding Surface prep, min/max temp, primers, UV cure X min...
- PE Thermo Fusing Surface prep, TF Model X, heat setting Y, time Z...
- Flanged Fitting Drilled hole size X, use flange ring & gasket Y, tighten to torque Z
- Repair/Replacement Fittings Surface prep, cover placement, encapsulant injection.











## **FOCUS on REPAIR & REPLACEMENT COMPONENTS**

### UL2447 Permits Optional Coverage of

Sump wall damage repair before/after installation, and Sump fittings for repair or replacement of/over old fittings



These material and/or fitting kits must demonstrate the effectiveness of repair or replacement by qualified persons on used systems per the instructions, which must include details of which types/materials they are suitable for, surface preparation, connection means and testing.

Test samples must repair/replace applicable sump parts pre-conditioned in an equal part mixture of all test fuels for 10 days at 40°C. This validates the surface preparation methods in addition to proper bonding & sealing with the sump or pipe that may have absorbed fuels. A real world test!







## **UL FUEL RATINGS based on FUEL COMPATIBILITY TESTING**

#### **UL STANDARDS vs DISPENSED FUELS**

	Low Blend Gas	Mid-Range Gas	High Blend Gas	Low Blend	Mid-Range	High Blend
	E0-E10	E15-E50	E51-E85	Diesel B0-B5	Diesel B6-B20	Diesel >B20
Tanks (USTs)						
UL58 Steel	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb
UL1746 CP Steel (PI-IV)	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb	Flam & Comb
UL1316 FRP	2 or 3	3 Only	3 Only	1, 2 or 3	Not Covered	Not Covered
Underground Pipe						
UL971 Nonmetallic	MV, HB or CT	Match to Blend	НВ & СТ	MV, HB or CT	Not Covered	Not Covered
UL971A Metallic	MV, HB or CT	Match to Blend	HB & CT	MV, HB or CT	Not Covered	Not Covered
Sumps & Fittings						
UL2447 (Old)	2 or 3	3 Only	3 Only	1, 2 or 3	Not Covered	Not Covered
UL2447 (New)	Automotive	Automotive	Automotive	Automotive	Automotive	Not Covered

**Old Fuel Ratings** evaluated with non-aggressive test fuels. One of the following ratings:

Flammable & Combustible Liquids - Includes (1) (2) (3) & other fuels or liquids with similar components and properties, or

(1) Petroleum Products Only,

(2) Petroleum Products and Gasohol (Unleaded Gasoline with Max 10% Ethanol),

(3) Petroleum Products, Alcohols and Alcohol-Gasoline Mixtures

**New Fuel Ratings** evaluated with new aggressive test fuels. One of the following ratings:

(MV) = Motor Vehicle Fuels – Gasoline w max 15% MeOH or 30% EtOH and Diesel w max 5% BioD

(HB) = High-blend Fuels – MV Blends + Gasoline w max 50% MeOH or 50% EtOH

(CT) = Concentrated Fuels – MV & HB Blends + 100% concentrations of Toluene, MeOH and EtOH

Automotive – Most commonly dispensed fuel blends (B0-B20, E0-E85) and includes low Iso-Butanol blends (iBu16)

## **COMMON MISCONCEPTIONS and CAUTIONS**

All Generic Materials Are The Same (NO) – Common sump materials may look the same, but their critical components, molecular structure, additives and processes give them different properties that are needed to resist fuels, chemicals, environments and forces. UL uses material analytics and physical properties to determine what tests are needed.

- FRP glass type (fiber, matt), resin type (polyester, iso/terapthalic), catalyst, % mix, bonding...
- **PE –** density, melt point, tensile/impact, elongation, additives, fusion & bonding...
- Sealant/Encapsulant chemical bonding to different materials, which may be contaminated....
- Equipment & Tools correct settings available? tested with all different types? calibrated?

Similar Components are Interchangeable (NO) – Although some sump fittings have common sizes and claim to be universal, proper fit and bond/fusion/sealing especially between different generic materials may have difficulty remaining leak tight due to molecular structure and physical properties. Consider material changes due to fuel/vapor exposures, thermal expansion/contraction, etc. But UL2447 evaluates these real world issues in our comprehensive test program.

"Meets UL2447" is the same as "UL Listed" (NO) – Only products with a "UL Mark" are Listed to ensure they were initially tested to and continue to comply with the requirements. Claims can be made, but are they trusted? Was it done correctly to all applicable parts by a qualified company? UL is an independent NRTL with qualified technical expertise & special equipment.







## **FINAL THOUGHTS on SUMPS & REPAIRS**

### **Practical Implementation and Improved Risk Mitigation**

There is a wide variety of sumps in use with respect to the collection of components used in the original construction, and maybe an upgrade. When determining if a sump repair or fitting replacement is appropriate, the age, ratings, physical condition, degree of damage, local environment & compatibility claims should be considered.

\* Is it rated for the combo of sump, fitting and/or pipe types to be repaired?

\* Valid compatibility claim, to what standard, and is the installer qualified?

**UL2447 (2012 ed) intended to mitigate know incompatibility problems** based on leak autopsy analysis of, individual materials, system components, rough use, and the fuels, fluids & environments there used in. We expect via replacement of old systems with new ones compliant with these improved requirements, in conjunction with implementation of the 2015 EPA Regulations for improved inspections, the combined result will be greatly reduced incidents.

Roland Riegel – PDE Flammable Liquid Containment Products

Roland.A.Riegel@ul.com









NLPA/KWA 823 currently exists as a recommended practice for fiberglass sump repair and in-situ construction of new sumps using the existing sump as a mold for fabrication.

New sump repair technologies which did not exist at the time of original development have been developed.

The new standard will be performance based and incorporate the elements of the original recommended practice and the newer technologies for sump repair.

Additionally, the standard will be written in a way that allows new technologies to be used as they are developed.

#### **NLPA/KWA Standard 823**

#### Standard for Preventative Maintenance, Repair and In-situ Construction of Petroleum Sumps

Committee Draft III April 2020 © pending NLPA and KWA For Committee Use Only

Document Prepared by the NLPA and KWA Document No. NLPA/KWA STD 823

## The Problem That Needs to Be Solved

Ich habe es drei mal geschnitten und es gibt jetz zu kurtz!

Translation: I've cut it three times and it's STILL too short!

The tank owner's lament is similar – I've had it fixed three times and it's still broken!



Caulks, glues, even Flex Seal<sub>™</sub> can't fix the problem. Standardizing the process can.

BEFORE







# The Goals of NLPA/KWA 823

- To provide information on the repairs available which do not require the expense or disruption of piping disconnection or breaking of concrete
  - Fitting repair or replacement
  - Structural damage repair using structural adhesive bonders or patches
  - In-situ construction of a new sump using the existing sump as a mold for fabrication, and
  - Water-tight lid assemblies

# NLPA/KWA 823 Goals (Continued)

- To provide information on testing/certification of devices and materials used to repair sumps
  - To assure appropriateness for the intended purpose
  - To assure compatibility with the product stored
- To provide minimum testing criteria prior to returning a sump to service
- To allow for future innovations

## The current NLPA/KWA RP 823

is a cookbook with no room to modify recipes Split fittings and materials that could bond HDPE didn't exist

### **Split Repair Boots allow for**

# Fitting repair without piping disconnection



Split repair fittings with different designs for a wide variety of applications are a perfect example of the need to incorporate future innovations. Split repair fittings do not require piping to be disconnected.



Bonding to and Repair of HDPE Sump Materials can now be reliably performed using chemical fusion bonding materials which did not exist when NLPA/KWA RP 823 was first published



## A standard is like a road map. It helps everyone get where they want and need to be.



## **Regulators Need Both Strength & Flexibility** to be sure that rules are enforced and provide for viable options while maintaining a fair playing field



## **Testing and Certification**

Testing and certification cannot be limited to large national testing organizations. Many smaller regional laboratories have the equipment and expertise to perform testing and certification of materials/devices for intended use and compatibility.



Testing and certification is limited to qualified independent laboratories in the standard.

40 CFR Part 280 uses the terminology "nationally recognized association or independent testing laboratory."

The NLPA/KWA committee agreed that "nationally recognized" applied to the association and that there would be no benefit conferred through reference to a "nationally recognized testing laboratory.



Standard for Preventative Maintenance, Repair and In-situ Construction of Petroleum Sumps Document No. NLPA/KWA Standard 823

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

		-
Introduction		03
Section 1 – Scope		03
Section 2 – Referenced Documents		04
Section 3 – Terminology and Definitions		06
Section 4 – Significance and Use		08
Section 5 – Permits, Plans and Testing for Tightness		09
Section 6 – Material Approvals After Testing		09
Section 7 – Sump Evaluation		12
Section 8 – Training and Certification of Installers		13
Section 9 – General Safety Considerations		14
Section 10 – Sump Fittings		17
Section 11 – Procedures		19
11.1 – Procedures Common to All Work	19	
11.2 –Sump Structural Repair	20	
11.3 - In-Situ Sump Construction	22	
11.4 – Sump Fitting Installation	23	
Section 12 - Repair, Replacement or New Construction of Sump Lid		23
Section 13 – Testing and Return to Service		24
Section 14 – Sumps – Other Materials of Construction		26
Section 15 - Keywords		28
Contact Information for NLPA and KWA		28

**SCOPE** - Four processes are described in this standard.

-Sump structural damage repair with applicable structural adhesive bonders or patches.

-Repair or replacement of sump entry and test fittings.

-Field constructing a retrofit single double wall sump utilizing the existing sump as a fabrication mold.

> Note: The process can, where allowed by the AHJ, be used to create a sump where one did not previously exist.

-Repair or replacement of sump lid assemblies to eliminate or prevent debris and surface water intrusion.

2

#### **REFERENCED DOCUMENTS**

Lists documents which can provide additional information useful to the user of the standard.

Referenced documents include documents published by:

- The American Petroleum Institute
  The American Society for Testing and Materials
- The Petroleum Equipment Institute
- Underwriters Laboratories
- US Environmental Protection Agency
  US Occupational Safety and Health Administration

#### Standard for Preventative Maintenance, Repair and In-situ Construction of Petroleum Sumps Document No. NLPA/KWA Standard 823

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

	Introduction		03	
	Section 1 – Scope		03	
	Section 2 – Referenced Documents		04	
	Section 3 – Terminology and Definitions		06	
	Section 4 – Significance and Use		08	
	Section 5 – Permits, Plans and Testing for Tightness		09	
	Section 6 – Material Approvals After Testing		09	
	Section 7 – Sump Evaluation		12	
	Section 8 – Training and Certification of Installers		13	
	Section 9 – General Safety Considerations		14	
-	Section 10 – Sump Fittings		17	
	Section 11 – Procedures		19	
	11.1 – Procedures Common to All Work	19		
	11.2 –Sump Structural Repair	20		
	11.3 – In-Situ Sump Construction	22		
	11.4 – Sump Fitting Installation	23		
	Section 12 - Repair, Replacement or New Construction of Sump Lid		23	
	Section 13 – Testing and Return to Service		24	
	Section 14 – Sumps – Other Materials of Construction		26	
	Section 15 - Keywords		28	
	Contact Information for NLPA and KWA		28	

2

Also contained in the standard are:

- Criteria for Material Approvals
- Sump Evaluation
- •Training and Certification requirements for installers
- General Safety Considerations
- •Information on the different types of sump fittings
- •Procedures applicable to the different types of repairs
- •Repair/Replacement/New Construction of sump lids
- Testing and return to service
- Other materials of sump construction

#### Standard for Preventative Maintenance, Repair and In-situ Construction of Petroleum Sumps Document No. NLPA/KWA Standard 823

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Introduction		03
Section 1 – Scope		03
Section 2 – Referenced Documents		04
Section 3 – Terminology and Definitions		06
Section 4 – Significance and Use		08
Section 5 – Permits, Plans and Testing for Tightness		09
Section 6 – Material Approvals After Testing		09
Section 7 – Sump Evaluation		12
Section 8 – Training and Certification of Installers		13
Section 9 – General Safety Considerations		14
Section 10 – Sump Fittings		17
Section 11 – Procedures		19
11.1 – Procedures Common to All Work	9	
11.2 –Sump Structural Repair 2	20	
11.3 – In-Situ Sump Construction 2	22	
11.4 – Sump Fitting Installation 2	23	
Section 12 - Repair, Replacement or New Construction of Sump Lid		23
Section 13 – Testing and Return to Service		24
Section 14 – Sumps – Other Materials of Construction		26
Section 15 - Keywords		28
Contact Information for NLPA and KWA		28

2

Contained within the standard is a method for testing sump lids.

A large volume of water is applied to the sump lid area.

Where possible, the lid is completely submerged under the water.

Where it is not possible to raise the water level over the lid, water is applied constantly over the test period.

At the end of the test period and once the water has receded, the lid is dried and the sump is opened. A lack of water intrusion indicates a successful test,



# **Other Materials of Construction**

### **Concrete Sumps and Vaults**



### **Require Periodic Maintenance**



# Metal UDC Sumps

Metal sumps are subject to corrosion. Even a badly corroded sump can be used as a mold for a new containment sump construction



The NLPA/KWA Standard Committee is a balanced committee with representation from the regulatory community, the regulated community, sump repair system manufacturers and petroleum contractors.



# In closing, I'd like to thank the committee members for their dedicated efforts as the standard is nearing completion. I've listed the members below.

Joey Arn, Petroleum Containment, Inc.	Russ Brauksieck, US EPA/State of NY
Brian Daliege, Tanknology, Inc.	Oleta Martin, 7-Eleven, Inc.
Bill Hickman, CO Div. of Oil & Public Safety	Jeremy Templin, The Kroger Company
Alfred Reid, Broward County, FL Environmental & Co	nsumer Protection Div
John Sieger, Sheetz Environmental Services	Scott Sharp, Armor Shield of America
Micah Nelson, S. Bravo Systems	Paul Reber, Icon Containment Solutions
Jimmy Spiros, Neumayer Equipment Co.	Ed Kubinsky, Jr., Crompco, LLC

# **Question & Answer**

# Please address all questions to a speaker

## THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



UST Inspector Training Series: <a href="https://neiwpcc.org/our-programs/underground-storage-tanks/ust-training-resources-inspection-leak-prevention/webinar-archive-inspector-training/">https://neiwpcc.org/our-programs/underground-storage-tanks/ust-training-resources-inspector-training/</a>

LUST Corrective Action Series: <a href="https://neiwpcc.org/our-programs/underground-storage-tanks/lust-training-resources-corrective-action/webinar-archive-corrective-action/corrective-action/webinar-archive-corrective-action/c

LUST Line: <u>https://neiwpcc.org/our-programs/underground-</u> <u>storage-tanks/l-u-s-t-line/</u>

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION



- **UST Inspector Training Series**
- Spill Bucket/Containment Sump Testing and
- Repair- 4/30/2020
- Moderated by Nick Bissonnette- Environmental
- Analyst, NEIWPCC

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION

