Importing Soil Material on Marginal Soil treatment Area Footprints

Randy Miles University of Missouri 2019 Northeast Onsite Wastewater Short Course April 2, 2019



A Wide Array of Limitations in Many Missouri Soil/Sites for Decentralized Wastewater Systems

- Limited depth to a restrictive horizon (i.e claypan)
- Limited depth to fragipan
- Limited depth to fractured bedrock and/or karst
- Limited depth to a water table
- Limited area of suitable soil
- Small footprint.
- Little vertical separation available for treatment and hydraulic loading

Table Rock Lake Project

 Research Study on Installations in which Effluent in Pre-Treatment Train and in Imported Soil was Measured for Specific Parameters

 Individual Case Studies- Mostly Residential



ATENO AND ADDOCIATED, 2000)

GREENE AND LAWRENCE COUNTIES, MISSOUR

System Type

Treatment in soil

Subsurface to pretreatment

Prtreatment

Pretreatment to no system

No system





Table Rock Lake Water Quality, Inc. Study

- Three commercial establishments using imported soil and drip irrigation.
- Cape Fair Resort: 1,920 gpd
- Lampe Resort: 1,560 gpd
- Shell Knob Restaurant S. 1,500 gpd

Three Phases of Fundamentals for Use of Imported Soil for Onsite Wastewater Dispersal and Treatment

- Qualities of the initial soil material
- Handling of the soil material during harvesting and transport
- Handling of soil material during and after placement

The Ultimate Goal is for the Imported Soil Material to Possess Physical Characteristics Which are Uniform and Near the Original State

This means the soil material must have:

- Uniform texture
- Uniform structure
- •Uniform pore space: size, connectivity and geometry

There are Three Mind-Sets You Must Have to Provide a High Probability for Fill Soil to Work

- Uniformity and consistence of the soil pores is a MUST. Based on principles of water movement in soil video.
- Patience, patience, and more patience
- The loading rate for imported soil is about half of what it was under native conditions

Soil Conditions/System Choice

- Deep, permeable soil
- Deteriorating soil conditions

 Shallow soil, Restrictive layers, Karst topography

- Septic tank / Soil absorption trenches
- Low Pressure Pipe
- Higher treatment
 - Packed bed filters
 - ATUs
 - Wetlands
- Drip Irrigation

Rules for the Initial Qualities of Soil to be Used for Import:

- A sandy to loamy material must be used (usually <20% clay): sandy loam, silt loam, loam, loamy sand
 - Do not use "topsoil"
 - Color does not count
- Texture of the imported material must be determined by a certified soil scientist (Structure at initial site and placement is important!)
- Platy or structureless-massive materials will not work
- Above ground plant growth and roots must removed from the harvest area before harvesting
- Removal and transport of the harvested soil must be performed under DRY conditions

Rules for Removal and Handling of Soil During the Harvesting and Transport Phase

- Material at the harvest site should be uniform
- All earthwork at the harvesting site must be performed under DRY conditions
- Removal of plant residue and roots from the fill area must be performed before removal of the soil

Rules for Placement of the Imported Soil at the Installation Site

- All earthwork at the construction site must be performed under DRY conditions
- Removal of plant residue and roots from the installation site must be performed before placement of fill
- Light scarification of remaining native soil interface may need to be scarified; chisel/shank implement is best; roto-tiller is not desirable
- Surface water diversions must be in place before placement
- Preference on transport directly from harvest site over stockpiled soil (variable moisture content)

Processed Imported Soil

Has been sieved and screened!



Rules for Placement of the Imported Soil at the Installation Site

- Fill material must NOT be compacted to prevent formation of platy structure
- Place in small "lift" increments (~6 inches) instead to one big layer. (Prefer to not form platy structure!)
- Soil scientist may be needed to assure that the proper specified texture is delivered and that the destruction of soil structure has been minimized.
- Vertical separation for the soil dispersal and treatment field ideally should be the native soil with the fill being the cap. Not always possible.
- Establish grassy vegetation as soon as possible;
 provides not only erosion control but a more

Under A Drip Dispersal Soil Treatment Regime How Does One Collect Water Quality Samples?

- Assuming unsaturated flow with drip dispersal.
- Piezometers measure a "point".
- Need collection in a integrative manner.

Two Collection Methods were Fashioned for Implementation Where Imported Soil was used

• Half-pipe lysimeter.

Sheet lysimeter

Half Pipe Lysimeter

















Soil Depth and Drip Tubing Placement Information

Descriptor	Cape Fair Resort	Lampe Resort	Shell Knob South
Approximate soil depth Above drip tubing	12 inches	12 inches	12 inches
Approximate soil Between drip tubing and lysimeters	5 inches	<1 inch	9 inches
Drip tubing placement Over lysimeters	Parallel	Perpendicular	Parallel

Number of Subsurface Samples Collected at Each Monitoring Site

+		
Monitoring Site	Dispersal Field	Control
Cape Fair Resort		
Plastic Sheet Lysimeter	5	9
Half-Pipe Lysimeter	3	7
Lampe Resort		
Plastic Sheet Lysimeter	14	9
Shell Knob Restaurant S.		
Plastic Sheet Lysimeter	18	1
Half-Pipe Lysimeter	14	2

Original Lagoon at Cape Fair



Removal of Lagoon: Cape Fair



Drip Dispersal Field: Cape Fair



Drip Dispersal Field: Cape Fair









Median sample concentrations of dispersal field and control lysimeter

		_		
	Cape Fair	Lampe	Shell Knob	
	Resort	Resort	Restaurant S.	
	BOD5 (mg/L)			
Plastic sheet lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	3		3	
Control	3		3	
Half-pipe lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	11	3	4	
Control	3	3	7	
	Ammonia (mg/L)			
Plastic sheet lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	0.02		0.02	
Control	0.02		1.28	
Half-pipe lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	0.03	0.62	0.61	
Control	0.02	0.14	0.44	
	Phosphorus (mg/L)			
Plastic sheet lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	0.46		0.06	
Control	0.14		0.25	
Half-pipe lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	0.98	1.17	1.10	
Control	0.15	0.19	0.16	
	Fecal Coliform (colonies/100ml))			
Plastic sheet lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	81		23	
Control	63		5	
Half-pipe lysimeter				
Dispersal Field	45	186	153 35	
Control	99	5	18	


Cape Fair Resort - Fecal Coliform



37

Old Lagoon Lampe Resort



Chipping of Bedrock for Tanks: Lampe Resort



Zabel Scat System: Lampe Resort



Drip Dispersal Layout: Lampe Resort



Drip Dispersal Layout: Lampe Resort



Placement of Imported Soil: Lampe Resort











Lampe Resort - Fecal Coliform



Septic Tank Effluent

Zabel SCAT System Effluent

Old System: Shell Knob South



Shell Knob South Restaurant





FAST System: Shell Knob South



Placement of Imported Soil: Shell Knob South



Half-pipe lysimeter: Shell Knob South











Shell Knob Restaurant S. - Fecal Coliform



Septic Tank Effluent

FAST Effluent

Septic Tank Effluent, Treated Effluent, and Subsurface Median Concentrations

	Cape Fair	Lampe	Shell Knob	
	Resort	Resort	Restaurant S.	
BOD5 (mg/L)				
Septic Tank Effluent	108	36	343	
Treatment System Effluent	12	17	59	
Subsurface Samples	3	3	4	
TSS (mg/L)				
Septic Tank Effluent	46	29	64	
Treatment System Effluent	12	7.8	32	
Ammonia (mg/L)				
Septic Tank Effluent	6.1	5.6	5.3	
Treatment System Effluent	4.8	5.2	5.7	
Subsurface Samples	0.02	0.62	0.61	
Phosphorus(mg/L)				
Septic Tank Effluent	2.6	3.0	3.5	
Treatment System Effluent	2.1	2.8	3.0	
Subsurface Samples	0.5	1.2	1.1	
Fecal Coliform (colonies/100 mL)				
Septic Tank Effluent	551,000	103,000	160,000	
Treatment System Effluent	12,060	8,290	50,000	
Subsurface Samples	81	186	153	60

Summary of Results for the Soil Receiving Environment

- Effluent BOD₅ and TSS concentrations for the advanced system units were consistently below 20 mg/L (ppm).
- Plastic sheet and half-pipe gravity lysimeters were effective in collecting subsurface samples.
- Plastic sheet lysimeters preferred if lysimeters are installed in concert with the system installation.
- Piezometers were not effective in collecting subsurface drip dispersal field samples
- Piezometers are a point source measurement versus an integration of the soil treatment field through the use of half-pipe or sheet lysimeters.⁶¹

Summary of Results for the Soil Receiving Environment (continued)

- Dispersal field subsurface sample concentrations were consistently smaller for all water quality parameters measured.
- For all three systems with gravity lysimeters median BOD₅, ammonia and fecal coliform concentrations were below effluent discharge limits for a mechanical surface discharging systems possessing nitrification and disinfection units processes.

Application of the Findings of the Study

- Advanced treatment combined with the unsaturated flow from time-dosed drip dispersal technology in concert with imported soil provides a reasonably high degree of success (while lowering risk) on marginal soil sites.
- Provided impetus for adaptation in other marginal Missouri soil /site receiving environments. Has been adapted in other states.

Use of Imported Soil in Marginal Sites:

- Not a "Magic Bullet" as there is a narrow range of tolerances.
- Rigid standards for imported soil material.
- Rigid standards for preparation of the soil treatment receiving site.
- Rigid standards for harvest, transport, and placement of imported soil material. (Steer away from compaction and platy structure!)
- Aerobic pre-treatment of effluent a must.
- Dispersal as unsaturated flow is critical. ⁶⁴

Use of Imported Soil in Marginal Sites:

- Not an easy or low cost alternative.
- Specifications are not to discourage the installation but:

If you want a job that is easy, sell shoes; practically everyone needs to wear shoes

One of the Bigger Questions Relative to Performance and Regulatory Considerations

- Use of unsaturated flow can be useful but..
- What do the MPN or FC Colonies per gram of dry soil mean?
- Can we relate the dry soil fecal coliform (or any other organism) numbers to reasonable assurances of treatment and human health and safety?

Case Studies of Onsite Wastewater Systems Utilizing Imported Soil

> A Resort and An Individual Residential System

















Gevers Residence: Preparation in Backyard


Gevers Residence: Drip Tubing Installed in Backyard



Gevers Residence: Placing Imported Soil on Drip Tubing



Gevers Residence: Right Side of House



Gevers Residence: Right Side of House



Gevers Residence: Front Yard



Gevers Residence: Left Side of House



Gevers Residence: Tight Working Conditions



Gevers Residence: Finishing the Sodding



Gevers Residence: Present



Gevers Residence Present



Gevers Resident: Present



Gevers Residence: Present











Boulder Point Resort: Pour for Concrete Tank



Boulder Point Resort: Blown In Tank with Pump Tank



Boulder Point Resort: Drip Irrigation Tube Set in Treatment Field



Boulder Point Resort: Drip Tubing Set up to Property Line



Boulder Point Resort: Drip Tubing Set Using All Available Area



Placing Imported Soil as Cap At Boulder Point Resort



Placing Imported Soil As Cap At Boulder Point Resort



Imported Soil Used for Manicuring Lawn



Soil Treatment Field Mulched with Surface Diversion: Boulder Point Resort



Boulder Point Resort: SCAT Unit Set on Tank



Boulder Point Resort: Soil Treatment Field Mulched



Boulder Point Resort: SCAT Unit Set on Tank



Boulder Point Resort: Soil Treatment Field



Application of the Findings of the Study

- Advanced treatment combined with the unsaturated flow from time-dosed drip dispersal technology in concert with imported soil provides a reasonably high degree of success (while lowering risk) on marginal soil sites.
- Provided impetus for adaptation in other parts of Missouri soil receiving environments.

FILL SOIL MATERIALS FOR ONSITE WASTEWATER DISPERSAL FIELDS HAS MANY PROBLEMS AND CHALLENGES

- Fill must be a specific textural class range
- Lack of uniformity of fill material- laterally and vertically
 - Variable in texture and structure
 - Structure is easy to destroy or degrade
- Easy to compact in the harvest and placement process (Stay away from compaction and platy structure!)
- Subsidence can be a long term problem
- Plant residue in the placement area as well as the harvest area Influences Water Movement

PROBLEMS WITH USE OF FILL FOR ONSITE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS

- Poor quality soil material is specified or used
- Diversity of soil texture is used
- Soil structure is destroyed or obliterated
- Soil material is compacted
- Placement is not uniform, allowing preferential by-pass flow
- The loading rate for imported soil is about half of what it was under native conditions

PROBLEMS WITH USE OF FILL FOR ONSITE WASTEWATER SYSTEMS (CONTINUED)

- Takes a long time to stabilize and not subside
- Plant residue and roots are not removed thus providing channels for by-pass flow
- Use of on demand or gravity distribution
 - Uneven soil trench depth, thus not providing proper distribution
 - leads to localized overloading
- Incomplete treatment

Fundamental Guidelines and Suggestions That Assist in Providing More Efficient Performance of The Fill Soil for Onsite Wastewater Systems

- It is better to err on using the sandier material within the specified range
- It is better to err on harvesting, transport, and placement under drier conditions rather than moist
- If in doubt remove the few remaining roots and organic debris
- Divert all surface and subsurface water
- Place in small layer increments, not one thick layer
- No matter how "uniform" you believe the fill is placed, consider timed, pressure dosing (DRIP DISPERSAL). This provides unsaturated flow!!!!!!!!!!!
- You cannot go wrong with highly treated effluent
- Use fill as a "cap" not the absorption area