# System Malfunctions – The View from Below



#### Agenda

- Failure rates
- Malfunction Analysis
- Questions Anytime Please

Dennis Healy Infiltrator Water Technologies Area Sales Representative





- 1. What is the failure rate of onsite systems?
- 2. What is the national failure rate?
- 3. What is an acceptable failure rate?
- 4. What data do we have on failure rates?

Let's review two peer reviewed studies.

## Field Performance Research on INFILTRATOR Infiltration Area Effectiveness



Independent, third-party research assessment of the hydraulic performance of Conventional Systems in Oregon.

**Published in Small Flows** 

#### Study Regions: Cascade West and Cascade East



#### Statistical Analysis of Hydraulic INFILTRATOR Function of Random, Stratified Sample SYSTEMS INC

	Treatment (ISI Chambers)			Control (Aggregate)			Total Sample		
	H	Ŷ١	n	HIF	ŷ2	n	HIF	Failure Rate	n
By soil grouping									
-high permeability	1	<b>0.97</b> a <sup>3</sup>	39	0	<b>1.00</b> a	44	1	1.2%	83
-moderate permeability	0	<b>1.00</b> a	71	2	0.97a	74	2	1.4%	145
-low permeability	1	0.99a	88	1	0.99a	73	2	1.2%	161
By climatic zone									
-humid temperate (CWR)	1	<b>0.99</b> a	99	2	0.98a	91	3	1.6%	190
-semi-arid (CER)	1	0.99a	99	1	0.99a	100	2	1.0%	199
All systems	2	0.99a	198	3	0.98a	191	5	1.3%	389

Field Performance Research on Infiltration Area Effectiveness



Dr. Hoover Research Conclusions:

 No significant difference in the surface failure rate of Chamber systems compared to conventional gravel systems

• Failure rates were < 2% for both systems

### Field Performance Study North Carolina



#### Performance of Chamber and EZ1203H Systems Compared to Conventional Gravel Septic Tank Systems in North Carolina

R.L. Uebler, S. Berkowitz, P. Beusher, M. Avery, B. Ogle, K. Arrington and B. Grimes

#### Abstract

The North Carolina On-Site Wastewater Section conducted a statewide survey, which compared the performance of chamber and EZ1203H systems with 25% trench length reduction to conventional gravel systems. A total of 912 systems were randomly chosen in 6 counties across the state. To control evaluation bias, a group of students from Western Carolina University were hired to inspect each system. A system was considered to have failed if there was evidence of sewage at the ground surface or if an owner reported problems with the system. The statewide failure rate of both standard chamber and EZ1203H systems compared to conventional gravel systems was not statistically different at a 95% confidence level.

## **North Carolina - Summary of Study**



- Largest field performance study in the world
- Study performed by the North Carolina DENR
- Field survey of 900 systems in North Carolina ranging in age from 2 to 12 years
  - 300 3' wide x 1' high gravel and pipe
  - 300 EZflow 1203H at 25% length reduction
  - 300 Infiltrator Standard chamber at 25% length reduction
- Goal: Examine performance in differing soil and climactic conditions

## **NC - Results**



System Type	Systems OK	Systems Failed	Total	Percent Failure
Grave1	281	22	303	7.3
Chamber	277	26	303	8.5
EZ1203H	277	29	306	9.5
Total	835	77	912	8.4

Table 1. System failure rate for conventional gravel, chamber, and EZ1203H systems.

- Results show no statistical difference at the 95% confidence level in malfunction rates between 3 system types surveyed
- Approval granted to products that are shown to perform the same or better than a conventional gravel and pipe system





# **Questions, Questions...**



- 1. Who will get blamed?
- 2. Is everyone being honest?
- 3. What are the two biggest factors that determine the life of a system?
- 4. Who is responsible for those factors?
- 5. Do we have any data on those factors?





Malfunction investigation:

- Septic tank investigation
  - Function
  - Malfunction issues and examples
  - O&M
- Drainfield investigation
  - Function
  - Malfunction issues and examples
  - Malfunction modes
  - O&M

Evaluate the complete system (pump chamber, dbox... etc.)

### Malfunction investigation Assemble the team



- Regulator
  - Observe mode of system malfunction
- Owner
  - Provide information on system usage
- Installer and Pumper
  - Measure scum and sludge levels
  - Pump tank
  - Excavate and Pump drainfield
- Engineer/System Designer
  - Compile and evaluate investigation data

## **Tank Investigation: Tank functions**



- Low-velocity flow environment
- Solids removal by settling and floatation
  - 75-95% solids removal
  - Reduce FOG by 85-95%
- Anaerobic digestion
  - 65-80% BOD reduction
- Storage of solids
  - Non-biodegradable or resistant to biodegradation

### Typical tank design – Two compartments





### **Biological treatment in tank**









- Wastewater strength
- pH (watch out for coffee shops)
- Chemicals, Pharmaceuticals (difficult to diagnose)
- Fats, oil, and grease (FOG)
- Flow pattern and flow rates tank residence time
- Water softener backwash is this bad?

#### Factors affecting tank performance



- Garbage grinders
  - Add to solids accumulation rate
  - Add to organic load
  - May add grease and oil
  - Increase hydraulic load
- Sewage (basement) lift pumps
  - Increase turbulence in the septic tank
  - Increase hydraulic load to tank
  - Should discharge into sewer line not directly to tank

#### **Issue: Hydraulic overload**





#### **Issue: Excessive scum height**







#### **Issue: Scum in screen**





#### **Issue: Solids in D-box**



Solids and sludge in D-box show that tank has malfunctioned



### **Educate homeowners**

- Homeowners need basic information on operation:
  - How the system works
  - How to use the system
- What should not be put into septic systems
- Homeowner must be encouraged to:
  - Have the system inspected periodically
  - Pump the tank on a schedule or based on measurements

#### **Issue: Latex discharge**



Slop sink in basement is caked with dried latex paint. Drainfield was not functioning as a result of latex discharge.







#### SYSTEM STATISTICS and CALCULATIONS ROBINSON, LARRY

#### SITE CHARACTERISTICS:

Limiting zone:	2(	1 inches	Sanc	l required.	28
Perc. rate:	(142.85	Min/inch	1		
Number of bed	rooms:	4	Slope:	12.0%	

#### SEPTIC TANK:

Minimum size required:1250gal.2 - compartmentTo be installed:1000gallon, 1 - compartment septic tank connected to an<br/>existing 1000 gallon septic tank.

#### ABSORPTION AREA DESIGN:

500 gal./day flow x 3.856 = 1928 sq. ft.(800sq.ft.Min.Req. by Township)
To be installed: Infiltrator chambers, which yield up to a 40% reduction in disposal area will be used. Each infiltrator chamber is rated at 29.50 sq. ft. of absorption are equivalent. Infiltrator chambers to be arranged in a rectangular pattern consisting of an array of (7) rows of chambers X (10) col. total of (70) infiltrator chambers. Total disposal rating of the infiltrator chambers is (2065) sq. ft.

Side A				Side B		
Lateral length:	32.65	Ft.		Lateral length	32.65	Ft.
Number required	7	Laterals		Number required	7	Laterals
Hole size:	1/4	In.		Hole size:	1/4	In.
Hole spacing:	6	Ft. on ctr		Hole spacing:	6	Ft. on center
Lateral diameter:	11/2	In.		Lateral diameter	11/2	In.
		Manifold	2	In.		
		Diameter:				
		Manifold	21.60	Ft.		
		length:				

#### **PUMP SIZING:**

7 Laterals(Side A) x 5 holes + 7 laterals(Side B) x 5 holes = 70 Holes x 1.28 gal/min/hole = 89.60 Gal/min.

#### **HEAD LOSS:**

Terminal head:	3.00	ft.
Elevation change:	8.64	ft.
Friction loss:	13.05	ft.
Total ft. head:	24.69	ft.

In.

#### **EQUIV. PIPE LENGTH:**

De	elivery pipe:	69.70	ft.
M	anifold pipe:	21.60	ft.
1	Tee Fitting:	11.10	ft.
1	Quick disconnect:	1.35	ft.
2	Elbows: $(45^{\circ})$	7.74	ft.
1	Coupler:	2.70	ſt.
	Total:	114.19	ft.



#### Get a copy of the permit, Review design/construction:

Verify through as-built drawings that system was installed per design

• Verify that site soil characterization and groundwater depth are accurate

 Check design calculations for drainfield sizing vs. regulations

## **Drainfield Function**



- 1. Maintains the structure of the excavation.
- 2. Exposes the applied wastewater to more infiltrative surface.
- 3. Provides storage space for the wastewater between the void fractions.

Source: USEPA Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual



# **Drainfield checks**



Excavate drainfield and verify:

- Chambers are open
- Stone depth and width
- Each trench has effluent





Solids clogged trench bottom

- No vertical water infiltration, distinct color change, not a nice transition in color
- Sand at trench bottom shows no evidence of treatment taking place (absence of discoloration)





Sand below biomat/solids layer is clean – no evidence of vertical infiltration







Sand below biomat shows slight staining – evidence of some vertical wastewater infiltration

White Biomat? No, it is an inorganic material from drywall contractors...

What are your repair options here?

#### **Biomat tells us things...**







Clod of powdered laundry detergent found in chamber; demonstrates that effluent flowed over top of both baffle and outlet tee in septic tank to carry material to drainfield



Excavate the system, you would be surprised what you will find. In this case inorganics (paint) have sealed up the system. Homeowner still claimed innocence.



# Issue: Unknown substance clogging infiltrative surfaces





#### What does an unhealthy trench bottom look like?

#### Issue: Fats, oil, and grease discharge





Oily slime was discharged to this drainfield, clogging the infiltrative surface and causing malfunction



Adirondacl	k Environment	al Services,	Ínc	מ	ate: 24-N	lov-08
CLIENT: Work Order: Reference: PO#:	Infiltrator 081112006 /		Client Sample ID: B10 Mat Sludg Collection Date: 11/11/2008 Lab Sample ID: 081112006-00 Matrix: SLUDGE		Mat Sludge 1/2008 12006-001 DGE	
Analyses		Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
GASOLINE AND	DIESEL RANGE OR	GANICS SW80 2/2008 )	15M			Analyst: MG
TPH (Diesel)		735	100	hā\ā	$\mathbf{>}1$	11/12/2008 8:31:18 PM
TPH (Gasoline)		< 100	100	19/5	1	11/12/2008 8:31:16 PM
OIL AND GREA	SE E1664					Analyst: VZ
QII & Grease		1070	10	hð\à	1	11/24/2008

#### **Issue: Landscape and vegetation**







#### **Issue: Siting in wetland soils**



#### **Drainfield was constructed near wetlands**



#### **Issue: Siting in wetland soils**



#### Drainfield was constructed proximal to area of surficial ponding



### **Issue: Siting in wetland soils**



#### Drainfield was constructed below groundwater table

# Issue: Siting within a topographic depression



Viewing preconstruction photos can help diagnose the problem





The septic system was installed here

#### **Excavate the system**





# PROBLEM: Siting

287



# SOLUTION: Relocate Elevate

# **PROBLEME** Clogged Infiltrative Surface

# SOLUTION: Replace Expand









# PROBLEME

# Leaking Tank

# Repair or Replace

# PROBLEM: Excessive Water Use

# SOLUTION: Repair Fixtures Reduce Water Use





- It is not up to you to determine what caused the failure – verify that the system met code
- Develop a policy for responding to repairs
- The designer nor the installer should not have to bear the expense if there is no fault from their services provided
- The repair solution: codes are a minimum design criteria you can go bigger





#### Dennis Healy Area Sales Representative DHealy@infiltratorwater.com

